Impact
Rapport
Evaluation

Training Course on the management of EB
17 and 18 March 2008

Participants: 18
Evaluation date: 26 March 2008
Respondents evaluation: 10 (n)

Training Course EB

March 17+18, 2008

Training Course on the Management of EB, March 17+18, 2008 at the University Medical Center Groningen, The Netherlands.

Monday morning session

Interdisciplinary approach

1. Mrs. J. Duipmans spoke distinctly and was easily understood.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=4,00
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,00
agree!	0	0%	n= 10
agree!!	10	100%	

Gut problems

2. Mrs. A. Martinez spoke distinctly and was easily understood.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=4,00
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,00
agree!	0	0%	n= 10
agree!!	10	100%	

Itch and infection

3. Mrs. J. Mellerio spoke distinctly and was easily understood.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=4,00
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,00
agree!	0	0%	n= 10
agree!!	10	100%	

Nutrition

4. Mrs. I. Herpertz spoke distinctly and was easily understood.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=3,80
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,40
agree!	2	20%	n= 10
agree!!	8	80%	

5. The topics during this morning session were well presented.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=4,00
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,00
agree!	0	0%	n= 10
agree!!	10	100%	

6. There was enough time for discussion.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=3,90
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,30
agree!	1	10%	n= 10
agree!!	9	90%	

Monday afternoon session

Introduction to workshops

7. Mr. M. Jonkman spoke distinctly and was easily understood.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=4,00
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,00
agree!	0	0%	n= 10
agree!!	10	100%	

Topical pain treatment

8. Mr. B. Molenbuur spoke distinctly and was easily understood.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=3,90
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,30
agree!	1	10%	n= 10
agree!!	9	90%	

Developmental aspects

9. Mrs. I. Mollema spoke distinctly and was easily understood.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=3,90
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,30
agree!	1	10%	n= 10
agree!!	9	90%	

Groningen protocol life ending

10. Mr. E. Verhagen / Mr. H. Mandema spoke distinctly and were easily understood.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=3,90
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,30
agree!	1	10%	n= 10
agree!!	9	90%	

What's new in EB-management?

11. Mrs A. Martinez / Mrs. J. Mellerio spoke distinctly and were easily understood.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=3,90
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,30
agree!	1	10%	n= 10
agree!!	9	90%	

12. The topics during this afternoon session were well presented.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=3,90
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,30
agree!	1	10%	n= 10
agree!!	9	90%	

13. There was enough time for discussion.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=3,90
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,30
agree!	1	10%	n= 10
agree!!	9	90%	

Tuesday morning session

Organisation of diagnosis

14. Mr. Jonkman spoke distinctly and was well understood.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=4,00
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,00
agree!	0	0%	n= 10
agree!!	10	100%	

Stress in EB

15. Mr. H. Mandema spoke distinctly and was easily understood.

not agree!!	1	10%	m=3,70
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,90
agree!	0	0%	n= 10
agree!!	9	90%	

Pain relief in terminal phase

16. Mr. B. Molenbuur spoke distinctly and was easily understood.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=3,90
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,30
agree!	1	10%	n= 10
agree!!	9	90%	

Handsurgery: to operate or not?

17. Mrs. M. Eissens / Mr. P. Robinson / Mr. K. Maathuis spoke distinctly and were easily understood.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=4,00
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,00
agree!	0	0%	n= 10
agree!!	10	100%	

18. The topics during this morning session were well presented.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=3,90
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,30
agree!	1	10%	n= 10
agree!!	9	90%	

19. There was enough time for discussion.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=3,60
not agree!	2	20%	s=0,80
agree!	0	0%	n= 10
agree!!	8	80%	

EB-clinic hands on

20. The introduction by Mrs. J. Duipmans was very clear.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=4,00
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,00
agree!	0	0%	n= 10
agree!!	10	100%	

21. The EB-clinic (Poli Dermatology) was well organised.

not agree!!	1	10%	m=3,60
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,92
agree!	1	10%	n= 10
agree!!	8	80%	

22. The patient meeting (after the EB-clinic) was very clear.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=3,83
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,37
agree!	1	10%	n= 6
agree!!	5	50%	
blanks	4	0%	

General

23. The Course was well organised.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=4,00
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,00
agree!	0	0%	n= 10
agree!!	10	100%	

24. The Course came up to my expectations.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=4,00
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,00
agree!	0	0%	n= 10
agree!!	10	100%	

25. I can use the information during my daily work.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=3,80
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,40
agree!	2	20%	n= 10
agree!!	8	80%	

26. The locations were good.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=3,90
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,30
agree!	1	10%	n= 10
agree!!	9	90%	

27. I received sufficient information in advance about this Course.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=4,00
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,00
agree!	0	0%	n= 10
agree!!	10	100%	

28. The social programme was well organised.

Not agree!!	0	0%	m=4,00
Not agree!	0	0%	s=0,00
agree!	0	0%	n= 10
agree!!	10	100%	

29. The organisation by the Wenckebach Instituut was good.

not agree!!	0	0%	m=4,00
not agree!	0	0%	s=0,00
agree!	0	0%	n= 9
agree!!	9	90%	
blanks	1	0%	

30. If you missed any subjects, which ones did you miss?

30. If you missed any subjects, which one did you miss?

- Excellent course! Thank you very much!
- This was an excellent course giving the insight into how your multidisciplinary team works. The opportunity for networking – sharing of ideas and experiences. Thank you.
- Fabulous 2 days. Thank you.
- Suggestion: rotating around patients in the clinic was very good, but also rotate around professionals would be interesting. The discussion with patients could then be MD. The experience gained was only that at the social aspect. We did not experience dental, dermatology, et cetera.
- The location around the EB clinic would have been better if we had had a mixture of professionals as well as patients. However the patients were varied and very interesting. Congratulations on a well organised and interesting meeting.
- As it was not with clinician but with paramedic I did not feel I got as good an overview of medical condition as would have been possible with clinician. Discussion post clinic was excellent.
- The participants who followed only one professional around. It would have been better to rotate the professional and the patients. (It can easily be done by reversing the programme for staff and participants.)